

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/662,472	09/16/2003	Motokazu Kobayashi	03500.017564	9615	
5514	5514 7590 08/10/2005		EXAMINER		
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			LEE, EL	LEE, EUGENE	
	30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			PAPER NUMBER	
2	-,	2815			
·			DATE MAILED: 08/10/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary Examiner		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Examiner Eugene Lee The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after Six (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply is pecified above, the mailor and the fill is communication of triph (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days, will be considered timely. If the period for reply is pecified above, the mailor and the state Six (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply is pecified above, the mailor may will, by said souther Six (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply is pecified above, the mailor may will, by said souther Six (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) ★ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 May 2005. 2a) ★ This action is FINAL. 2b) ★ This action is non-final. 3) ★ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ★ Claim(s) ★ 1.11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-10 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ★ Claim(s) ★ 1.11 is/are rejected. 7) ★ Claim(s) ★ 1.11 is/are rejected. 7) ★ 2.11 is/are rejected. 9) ★ 1.12 is/are allowed. Claim(s) ★ 3.12 is/are allowed. Application Papers 9) ★ 1.12 is/are allowed. Application Papers 9) ★ 1.12 is/are allowed. Application Papers 9 ★ 2.12 is/are allowed. Applica							
Eugene Lee 2815 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum studyor period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply veithin the set or extended period for reply veltory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply veithin the set or extended period for reply veltory period vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication to become ABANCONED (35 U.S.C. § 139). Any reply received by the Office later than free months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely flied, may reduce any example application in the application is communication (s) filed on 23 May 2005. Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 May 2005. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-10 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(Office Action Summary						
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.135(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) ☑ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 May 2005. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-10 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	•						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed sites (3) (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply is especified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is especified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the particular provided (3) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is especified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the satutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication to become AbDONDEO (35 U.S. 6) 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 May 2005. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-10 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	1					
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed selected size of the convenience of the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed selected size of the provision of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed selected size of selected above selecte	Period for Reply						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 May 2005. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-10 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5 and 11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	 THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replif in NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing. 	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e. cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-10 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-5 and 11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	Status		•				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-10 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-5 and 11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 /	May 2005.					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-10 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5 and 11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		· ·	ί				
Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-10 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-5 and 11 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	3) Since this application is in condition for allowed	<i>,</i> —					
4)	closed in accordance with the practice under	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-10 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5 and 11 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	Disposition of Claims		•				
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-5 and 11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	•		·				
6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 and 11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	·	n from consideration.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	•		121				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	•						
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	•	or election requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	,	4					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	·		•				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
•							
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.	•						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	•	n priority under 35 H.S.C. & 119/a	n)-(d) or (f)				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	- · · · ·		tion No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri-	onty documents have been receiv	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	• •	· ·					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not receiv	ed.				
			·				
	Attachment(s)	» –	(DTO 442)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
2) Notice of Dialogorison of Application (PTO 152)	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	5) Notice of Informal					

Art Unit: 2815

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1 thru 4, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Izuha et al. 6,060,735. Izuha discloses (see, for example, FIG. 3) a thin film dielectric device (piezoelectric element) 3 comprising a dielectric thin film (piezoelectric film) 5, lower electrode 4, and upper electrode 6. In column 4, lines 51-54, Izuha discloses the dielectric thin film comprising (Pb, La)(Zr,Ti) O₃ which is a piezoelectric film and a perovskite oxide. In column 7, lines 8-13, Izuha discloses the lower electrode comprising a conductive perovskite oxide. In column 5, lines 3-22, Izuha discloses the bottom electrode film and said dielectric film are composed of continuous columnar grains wherein the bottom electrode, dielectric, and top electrode share the columnar grains. The columnar grains are composed of crystal grains a, b, c which grow from each other. In FIG. 4A, Izuha discloses the bottom electrode, dielectric thin film, and lower electrode sharing the columnar grains A (a region where crystals of said lower electrode and/or said upper electrode and crystals of said piezoelectric film are mixed exists between said lower electrode and/or said upper electrode and said piezoelectric film).

Regarding claim 2, see, for example, FIG. 4A, wherein Izuha discloses the columnar grain (mixed region) A which is formed from a perovskite oxide.

Art Unit: 2815

Regarding claim 3 and the limitation "sol-gel method", this is a product-by-process limitation.

Regarding claim 4, see, for example, column 7, lines 1-16, wherein Izuha discloses the lower electrode may comprising SrRuO₃ (M¹RuO₃) and column 4, lines 51-54, wherein Izuha discloses the dielectric thin film comprising (Pb, La)(Zr,Ti) O₃.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Izuha et al. '735 as applied to the claims 1-4, and 11 above, and further in view of Murai 6,398,349 B1. Izuha does not disclose a pressure chamber, an ink discharge port, a vibrating plate, and ink. However, Murai discloses (see, for example, FIG. 7) an ink jet printing head comprising a pressure chamber 21, nozzle (ink discharge port) 11, diaphragm (vibrating plate) 30, and ink. In column 6, lines 5-44, Murai discloses the pressure chambers are spaces for storing ink and the diaphragm deforms (from the piezoelectric device) to pressure ink to discharge from the nozzle 11. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have a pressure chamber, an ink discharge port, a vibrating plate, and ink in order to have the perovskite structure utilized in an electronic device such as an ink jet printing head.

Art Unit: 2815

Product-by-Process Limitations

While not objectionable, the Office reminds Applicant that "product by process" limitations in claims drawn to structure are directed to the product, per se, no matter how actually made. In re Hirao, 190 USPQ 15 at 17 (footnote 3). See also, In re Brown, 173 USPQ 685; In re Luck, 177 USPQ 523; In re Fessmann, 180 USPQ 324; In re Avery, 186 USPQ 161; In re Wethheim, 191 USPQ 90 (209 USPQ 554 does not deal with this issue); In re Marosi et al., 218 USPQ 289; and particularly In re Thorpe, 227 USPQ 964, all of which make it clear that it is the patentability of the final product per se which must be determined in a "product by process" claim, and not the patentability of the process, and that an old or obvious product produced by a new method is not patentable as a product, whether claimed in "product by process" claims or otherwise. Note that applicant has the burden of proof in such cases, as the above case law makes clear. Thus, no patentable weight will be given to those process steps which do not add structural limitations to the final product.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 5/23/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding the limitation "wherein an interface between said lower electrode and/or said upper electrode and said piezoelectric film does not exist", the applicant describes (see, for example, page 18, line 27 – page 19, lines 5-13 of the specification) that the disappearance of the interface is when the interface cannot be ascertained clearly. In column 12, lines 47-53, Izuha describes the lattice constant of the lower electrode 4 and the lattice constant of the dielectric thin

Art Unit: 2815

film 5 were matched at the interface. Such matching makes it difficult to distinguish the boundary between each layer (i.e. the interface cannot be ascertained clearly) as described by Izuha in column 11, lines 45-49. Also, the applicant defines (see, for example, page 19, lines 10-13) an interface that cannot be ascertained clearly when the crystals in the electrode and crystals in the piezoelectric film are mixed exist in joint surfaces between the lower and upper electrodes and the piezoelectric film. This situation is clearly evident in Izuha wherein Izuha discloses (see, for example, FIG. 4A) the columnar grain A shared between the joint surfaces of the upper electrode 6, dielectric thin film 5, and lower electrode 4. The <u>structure</u> of Izuha is not structurally different from the applicant's claimed structural invention. FIG. 4B of Izuha makes reference to an interface, however, this interface is one specific instance of the relationship between the electrodes and dielectric thin film, and Izuha makes reference (already stated above) another specific instance wherein it is difficult to distinguish the boundary between each layer.

INFORMATION ON HOW TO CONTACT THE USPTO

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eugene Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-1733. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on 571-272-1664. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2815

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Eugene Lee August 4, 2005

ng